

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/088,933	07/17/2002		Christoph Haluschka	10191/2301	4719	
26646	7590	09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON		ON	PATEL, VINOD D			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3742		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		l Auntina	Alan Na	Applicant(s)	¥			
		Арриса	tion No.	Applicant(s)	1			
		10/088,	933	HALUSCHKA ET A	.L.			
Office Action Summary		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Vinod D	. Patel	3742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	Iress			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3° SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  TOFR 1.136(a). In no cation.  ays, a reply within the story period will apply and, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a tatutory minimum of thin will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 28 June 2004	_					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	<del></del>							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 14-26 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2004</u> is Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	s/are: a)⊠ accep on to the drawing(s) e correction is requ	) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	, ,			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do:  2. Certified copies of the priority do:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do:	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docun I Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in A nents have been ule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National S	Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic	nt(s) the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		Paper No( 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date		6)	<del></del> .				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,933 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

#### **DETAILED OFFICE ACTION**

#### INTRODUCTION

1. This application/control number 10/088,933 has been examined. This is second action on the merits of the claimed invention. The application has claims 14-26 pending.

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 14-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-31 of copending Application No. 10/070113. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the instant application 10/088933 are merely broader in scope than the claims of 10/070113. The scope is broadened only by the omission of the requirement that the first electrode and second electrodes not be connected to the first and second lead layers as required by 10/070113. The narrower claim anticipates the broad claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,933 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato (EP0834652 A1).

Sato discloses a sheathed-element glow plug provided with ionic-current sensor comprising a housing (4), a rod-shaped heating element (6) arranged in a concentric bore hole of the housing, the heating element including at least one insulating layer (8) made of an electrical insulating ceramic material, a first feeder layer (7) and a second feeder layer (7) and a bar (14) are made of the electro conductive ceramic material, the bar (14) is located at the end of the heating element (6), the first feeder layer (7) and the second feeder layer (7) are connected by the web (14), a first electrode (7) and a second electrode (14) for detecting the ionic current, the first electrode (7) embedded in the insulating layer (8) and the second electrode(14) applied on the at least on insulating layer (e.g. as shown in the Figures 2, 14), the first and second feeder layers (9a, 9b) are made of tungsten, the first electrode (7) and the second electrode (14) and insulating layer (8) are made of electro conductive ceramic powder mixture of molybdenum silicide and non conductive ceramic powder silicon nitride, it also teaches use of metal such as platinum for electrodes.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,933 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

7. Applicant's arguments filed ON 6-26-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Only disagreement by applicant regarding the rejection of claims 14-26 was the first and second feeder layers (9a, 9b) are made of electrically conducting material.

Erroneously, Examiner asserted that the first feed layer (9a) and the second feed layer (9b) are made of an electrically conducting ceramic material instead of the first feeder layer (7) and the second feeder layer (7) are made of an electrically conducting ceramic material as shown in the figures and explained above.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

Vinod Patel Patent Examiner Art Unit 3742

Vm Nalis

ROBIN O. EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER